## CH2MHILL.

## Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Annual General Meeting, York


**Beach and Cliff Monitoring Analysis** 



Copyright 2010 by CH2M HILL • Company Confidential

## **Data collection**

- 248 profiles recorded
  - 149 of which are surveyed 6-monthly
  - 99 surveyed annually
- 31 beach topographic surveys
  - 11 6-monthly
  - 18 annually
  - 2 every 5 years
- Cliff top monitoring at 14 locations
  - All surveyed every 6 months
- Aerial surveys undertaken in 2010 and 2012/13
  - Historical data from 2003 and 2008 (not whole coastline)
  - Calculation of cliff top and cliff toe recession rates
  - Assessment of change in dunes



## **Benefits and problems encountered**


Data	Benefits	Problems encountered
Beach profiles	<ul> <li>Consistent time-series data for easy assessment of long-term trends</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No information on sediment movement direction unless combined with topographic survey</li> </ul>
Beach topographic surveys	<ul> <li>Highlights spatial pattern of change over a range of timescales</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Underlying trends unclear short-term data that are dominated with seasonal effects and sandbar migration</li> <li>Long-term comparisons increasingly useful</li> </ul>
Cliff monitoring pegs	<ul> <li>Provides precise data on cliff position at discrete locations</li> <li>Easily understood and valuable long-term data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Method can give low accuracy data over the short term as 'cliff top' cannot easily be identified.</li> </ul>
Aerial surveys for cliff assessment	<ul> <li>Gives precise and accurate information on position of cliff top and toe</li> <li>Numerous other applications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Data acquisition can be challenging – tides, weather and GPS satellites all have to OK</li> <li>Shadow/vegetation can make precise identification of features difficult</li> </ul>

## Beach profiles: results from autumn 2012 to autumn 2013

- Northumberland: beaches within past range. Few beaches eroding
- North Tyneside: beaches within past range. Much subtle change, local variability with steepening, accretion and erosion observed.
- South Tyneside: beaches within past range. Accretion of dunes where stabilisation measures in place
- Sunderland: most beaches within past range. Localised steeping
- Durham: most beaches within past range. Localised lowering
- Hartlepool: widespread beach steeping or erosion
- Redcar & Cleveland: widespread steepening and lowering
- Scarborough: localised steepening and lowering (including December surge)

### **Typical data – Scarborough North Bay**


Other years' data not show for clarity




### **Beach topographic surveys**

- Current beach elevation plot
  - Shows pattern of beach morphology, highlighting sand bars etc
- Calculation of change since *last* survey (6 or 12 months)
  - Shows pattern of short-term change, generally highlighting magnitude and pattern of seasonal events.
  - Highlight migration of sand bars, cliff recession, accretion at the back of beach
- Calculation of change since baseline survey (c. 5 years)
  - Shows pattern of long-term change. Over time underlying trends will emerge.
  - Data on beach face generally still dominated by migrating bars.
  - Suggests net long-term change in beaches is too small to yet be quantified. Pattern is masked by seasonal 'noise'

## Beach topographic surveys showing beach lowering, North Sands Hartlepool




## Long-term beach topographic change - Newbiggin




## Long-term beach topographic change – Herd Sands, Tyneside




## Long-term beach topographic change – Coatham Sands, Redcar




## Long-term beach topographic change – Runswick Bay





## Long-term beach topographic change – Robin Hood's Bay



## **Cliff top surveys**

- Method measures distance from inland datum cliff edge every 6 to 12 months
- Allows short- and long-term change to be recorded and rates of change to be calculated
- Short-term data prone to measurement error cliff edge not clearly identifiable, obscured by vegetation, difficult to access. Error often > change
- Independent checks available from analysis of aerial photography




## **Cliff recession monitoring data – Soft cliffs at Filey**




Survey: 2008 to 2013 mean recession rate (n=27) 0.1m/yr. Range from 1.3 to 0 m/yr

## Cliff recession monitoring data – hard rock cliffs at Trow Quarry, South Tyneside



## **Cliff behaviour units – data from aerial photo analysis**



- Simple Cliffs and Simple Landslides: simple relationship between toe erosion and cliff top retreat. Cause and effect in a day (single storm) to a year.
- Composite and Complex Cliffs: complex relationship between toe erosion and ground water in landslide sub-systems. Cause and effect separated by many years - 10 to 100 years
- Aerial photo assessment is underpinned by recognition of cliff behaviour units

# Cliff Recession - comparison of ground survey and aerial photography analysis at Filey Bay

- Survey data: 2008 to 2013 mean recession rate (n=27) for all CBU types = 0.1m/yr. Range from 1.3 to 0 m/yr
- Aerial survey (2003 to 2013):

Location	Cliff Type	Cliff Top	Cliff Toe	Profiles
Filey Bay South	Composite Cliff	No data	0.45	2
Hunmanby Gap to Speeton	Simple Landslide	1.36	0.40	8
Flat Cliffs	Complex Cliffs	No data	0.00	3
Flat Cliffs to Filey	Simple landslide	0.15	0.65	6
Filey to the Brigg	Simple landslide	0.00	0.32 <i>adv.</i>	4

- Variation in rate by CBU: simple landslides most dynamic
- Average and range of data from both methods comparable

## The future

- Partial measures report spring 2014 assess impact of the storm surge on the coastline
- Walkover inspection of the whole coast focus on residual impacts of the surge
- Future full measures report determine longer-term patterns of beach evolution
- Integrate finings of Sediment Transport Study with ongoing monitoring results
- Repeat bathymetry survey determine changes below MLW and establish improved baselines
- Repeat aerial survey determine short term change in cliffs; consider use of LiDAR for beach volume changes